



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/393,998	09/08/1999	CRAIG F. CULVER	IMM060.RE	3595
34300 7590 05/02/2008 PATENT DEPARTMENT (51851) KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1001 WEST FOURTH STREET WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27101				
EXAMINER BODDIE, WILLIAM				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/02/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/393,998		CULVER, CRAIG F.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	WILLIAM L. BODDIE		2629	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) WILLIAM L. BODDIE.

(2) Carl Sanders.

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*See Continuation Sheet*

Claims discussed:

*91*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final Entered

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 9:45

  

/William L Boddie/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Newly claimed 91 contains 112 concerns, as it appears that claim 90 was inadvertently omitted when all the limitations of claims prior to claim 91 were incorporated into claim 91. Additionally, the declaration of the case is not currently sufficient..

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed that he would submit a supplemental amendment incorporating the limitations of claim 90 into claim 91. Additionally the Applicant's representative would submit a new declaration taking into consideration the requirements set forth in section 1414 of the MPEP..